

**Annexure A: List of planning policies to be withdrawn - 2015**

<b>Policy name</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Reason for withdrawal</b>
<b>TABLE BAY DISTRICT</b> Contact Greg September    021-400-6447		
Green and Sea Point Local Area Policy Plan	1992	Outdated - no longer in use; superseded by Table Bay district plan
Victoria / Houghton Road & Camps Bay Drive: Camps Bay Guidelines	1994	The policy is outdated and has been superseded by the Scenic Drive Network Management Plan (2003), the Cape Town Zoning Scheme and the Urban Design Policy and Tall Buildings Policy.
<b>BLAAUWBERG DISTRICT</b> Contact Dewaldt Smit    021-444-0560		
Atlantis Coastal Zone Spatial Development Framework	1999	Incorporated into the Blaauwberg District Plan
Atlantis Town Regeneration Project: Draft Spatial Development Framework	2000	Incorporated into the Blaauwberg District Plan and can be addressed by other recently approved policies, such as the Densification and Urban Design Policies.
Atlantis Wesfleur Town Centre: Planning and Design Guidelines	1997	Design issues can be effectively dealt with by recently approved Council policies such as the Densification, Urban Design and Tall Building Policies.
Mamre Struktuurplan	1988	Incorporated into the Blaauwberg District Plan
Melkbosstrand CBD Development Framework	1999	The policy is outdated and has been superseded by more recent planning by the Property Management department. Property Management will prepare a framework as part of their process to inform disposal/lease of the site.
Morningstar Subdivision Policy	1995	Incorporated into the Blaauwberg District Plan and can be addressed by other recently approved policies, such as the Densification Policy.
Urban Design Framework for erven 10647 and 10984, Milnerton Golf Course and Environs	2002	These guidelines have been superseded by the subsequent development of the erven and are furthermore mentioned in the Loxton Road Policy, which is being retained.
<b>NORTHERN DISTRICT</b> Contact Sean van Rensburg    021-980-6206		
Joostenberg Sub-Regional Plan	1998	Superseded by Northern district plan
Northern Urban Edge Structure Plan	2001	Superseded by Northern district plan
Oostenberg Spatial Development Framework	2002	Superseded by Northern district plan
Tygerberg North-West Sub-regional Plan		Superseded by Tygerberg and Northern district plans

<b><u>TYGERBERG DISTRICT</u></b> Contact Chad Newman 021-444-7505		
Belhar Local Structure Plan	1991	Outdated and replaced by Tygerberg district Plan
Bellville: CBD Development Framework	1999	Replaced by Tygerberg district Plan
Bonteheuwel CBD Urban Design & Landscape Plan	2002	Outdated, superseded by other planning initiatives
Bonteheuwel Central Business District Development Framework	1999	Outdated, superseded by other planning initiatives
Kuils River Hinterland Structure Plan	1991	Outdated and replaced by Tygerberg district Plan
Kuilsriver Metropolitan Open Space Study	2000	Superseded by the Tygerberg and Northern District Plans
Kuilsriver Polkadraai Development Plan	1997	Implemented; not applicable anymore
Master Plan for Erf 30964 (area bordered by Strand Str., Willie Hofmeyr Ave., Belrail Road and Erf 11424 at the Stikland Bridge.)	1998	Implementation completed
Monte Vista Triangle	2002	Replaced by Tygerberg District Plan
Voortrekker Road Growth Management Study	2000	Outdated, replaced by Tygerberg District Plan
Voortrekker Road Mixed Use Node	2002	Outdated, replaced by Tygerberg District Plan

<b><u>HELDERBERG DISTRICT</u></b> Contact Eloise Rousseau 021-400-9447		
AECI Helderberg - Commercial Triangle Development Framework	1998	Incorporated into Helderberg District Plan
Broadlands Spatial Development Framework	2002	Principles incorporated into Helderberg District Plan
Helderberg Smallholding Edge Structure Plan	2001	Principles incorporated into Helderberg District Plan
Helderberg Spatial Development Framework		Incorporated into Helderberg District Plan
Helderberg Basin sub-regional plan	2001	Incorporated into Helderberg District Plan
Helderberg Urban Edge Structure Plan	2001	Incorporated into Cape Town SDF
Helderberg Urban Edge Study		Incorporated into Cape Town SDF
Macassar/Sandvlei Structure Plan		Incorporated into Helderberg District Plan
Macassar Structure Plan	2002	Incorporated into Helderberg District Plan
Somerset West Density Policy	1996	Out of date, replaced by city-wide density policy
Somerset West Structure Plan	2000	Incorporated into Helderberg District Plan
Structure Plan for Somchem	1998	Incorporated into Helderberg District Plan

<b><u>KHAYELITSHA-MITCHELL'S PLAIN DISTRICT</u></b> Contact Gerhard Hanekom 021-360-1150		
Blue Downs - Delft Structure Plan	1989	Outdated & superseded by District Plan
Blue Downs CBD Development Plan & Strategy	2001	Outdated, most areas developed. Requires new policy initiative for remainder
Wimbledon Spatial Development Plan & Strategy	2002	Outdated & superseded by District Plan
Driftsands and Environs Local Structure Plan	1991	Outdated & superseded by District Plan
Greater Blue Downs Spatial Development Framework	2000	Superseded by District Plan
Kuilsriver Moss Structure Plan	2001	Superseded by District Plan
Mitchells Plain : Local Area Spatial Development Framework	2001	Outdated & superseded by District Plan

<b>CAPE FLATS DISTRICT</b> Contact Margot Muller 021-684-4310		
Athlone Golf Park Development Framework		Now developed as Vanguard Mall.
Grassy Park Local Structure Plan	1996	Outdated and superseded by District Plan. The Grassy Park LSP provides no additional relevant guidelines.
Grassy Park Open Space Master Plan	1989	Outdated and not used
Guguletu/Manenberg Local Area Spatial Development Framework	1999	Outdated and superseded by District Plan.
Hanover Park and Sand Industria Local Area Spatial Development Framework	2000	Outdated and superseded by District Plan.
Lansdowne Road Phillipi Local Structure Plan	1995	Superseded by District Plan. Provides no additional relevant guidelines.
Lansdowne Industrial Area Spatial Development Framework	1992	Superseded by District Plan. Provides no additional relevant guidelines.
Manenberg CBD Development Framework	2003	Policy document content could not be verified/obtained
Ottery East Local Structure Plan	1996	Outdated and superseded by District Plan.
Ottery Local Structure Plan		Policy document content could not be verified/obtained
Philippi Horticultural Area Management Plan	2001	Superseded by District Plan and the draft Urban Edge and Development Guidelines Study for the Schaapkraal Area and Environs in the PHA.
Schaapkraal Development Control Policy	1992	Outdated and superseded by District Plan.
Strategic vacant land study for Grassy Park, Lotus River, Parkwood, Pelican Park, Zeekoevlei	1999	Outdated and superseded by District Plan.
Vangate precinct Plans (housing phase, Vygieskraal river upgrade)		Part of development plans submitted by Vanguard Mall developer. Now obsolete.
Youngsfield & Environs Development Framework	2000	Outdated and superseded by District Plan.
Zeekoevlei Local Structure Plan	1997	Outdated and superseded by District Plan.

<b>SOUTHERN DISTRICT</b> Contact Kier Hennessy 021-444-8320		
A Planning Approach to Development Pressures along Tokai Road	1989	Outdated & effectively replaced by the Southern District Plan and Main Road Growth Management Strategy.
Claremont CBD: Integrated implementation framework for priority non-motorised transport and urban upgrade projects	2007	Outdated and no longer relevant
Claremont Land Use and Transport Study	1992	Outdated and no longer relevant
Constantia- Tokai Valley Local Area Growth Management and Development Plan	1992	Outdated & largely superseded by the Southern District Plan and other policy guidance (e.g. scenic drives & boundary walls & fences policy; heritage info).
Development Framework for Wynberg Transport Interchange	1994	Outdated, no longer relevant & not used.
Hout Bay Beachfront Conceptual Development Plan	1993	Outdated, no longer relevant & not used. Effectively replaced by the Southern District Plan. A sub-district plan is required for Hout Bay and Llandudno, and this area would be a component area in that study.
Hout Bay Village and Beach Crescent Concept Plan	1993	Outdated, no longer relevant & not used. Effectively replaced by the Southern District Plan. A sub-district plan is required for Hout Bay and Llandudno, and this area would be a component area in that study.
Lansdowne Road Policy Plan	1993	Outdated & largely superseded by the Southern District Plan.
Muizenberg to Monwabisi : Coastal Policy Plan	1987	Outdated, no longer relevant and not used. Effectively replaced by the relevant district plans.

Noordhoek Structure Plan	1985	Outdated, not used & largely superseded by the Southern District Plan. A sub-district plan is required for the Far South area, and this area would be a component area in that study.
Protecting the existing residential character of the area bounded by Ave De Mist, Milner Road, and the Black River: Rondebosch	1992	Outdated and no longer appropriate.
Rondebosch Mowbray Local Area Plan	1992	Outdated & largely superseded by the Southern District Plan.
Southern South Peninsula local structure plan	1998	Outdated and largely superseded by the Southern District Plan. A sub-district plan is required for the Far South area, and this would be a component area of that study
Structure Plan for Kommetjie/Ocean View Ward and Environs	2000	Outdated and largely superseded by the Southern District Plan. A sub-district plan is required for the Far South area, and this would be a component area of that study
Urban design framework plan for the Raapkraal Road precinct: Kirstenhof	1994	Outdated and no longer relevant.
Wynberg CBO Integrated Study: Stage 1	1999	Outdated & no longer relevant. Effectively replaced by the Southern District Plan and Main Road Growth Management Strategy. However, with new IRT proposals, including significant road network changes, there is a need for a new local area study. This could become a component area study for a much broader Main Road corridor sub-district plan in future.

**Annexure B:** Planning policy rationalisation, phase 2: Public participation - comments received and responses thereto

Comments	Response
<b>Subcouncil 1: 01SUB08/10/14, 23 October 2014</b>	
<p><b>RESOLVED</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That the list of obsolete and updated local-area policy plans as listed in annexure A attached to the report on the agenda <b>BE NOTED</b>.</li> <li>2. That no comments be submitted on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans as listed in Annexure A to the report on the agenda.</li> <li>3. That it <b>FURTHER BE NOTED</b> that all draft planning policies and plans initiated before 2005 will be discontinued.</li> </ol>	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 2: 02SUB14/10/14, 22 October 2014</b>	
<p><b>RESOLVED</b> unanimously by Subcouncil 2 that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) The list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in annexure A <b>BE NOTED</b>;</li> <li>(b) That the closing date of 30 November 2014 for comment on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A, <b>BE NOTED</b>;</li> <li>(c) That the discontinuation of all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, <b>BE NOTED</b>;</li> </ol> <p><b>FURTHER RESOLVED</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(d) That the report be work shopped at the next activity day on 17 November 2014.</li> </ol>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Further noted that the SC manager will action Resolution (d)</p>
<b>Subcouncil 3: 03SUB20/10/14, 23 October 2014</b>	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) <b>TO NOTE</b> the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A.</li> <li>(b) That the Councillors who wish to submit comment do so before 30 November 2014 in respect of the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A.</li> <li>(c) <b>TO NOTE</b> that all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005 will be discontinued.</li> </ol> <p><b>FURTHER RESOLVED</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(d) That this matter be referred to ward committee members and CBO's on the Subcouncil database with the request that they submit comment by 30 November 2014.</li> </ol>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Further noted that the SC manager will action Resolution (d)</p> <p>Informed on 2 December 2014 that no further comments were submitted to the office of the SC manager - noted</p>
<b>Subcouncil 4: 04SUB11/11/14, 20 November 2014</b>	
<p><b>RESOLVED</b> That the Subcouncil <b>NOTED</b> the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy</p>	Noted

plans, as listed in Annexure A; <b>NOTED</b> that all draft planning policies and plans initiated before 2005, will be <b>DISCONTINUED</b> ; That no comment was <b>SUBMITTED</b> by Subcouncil 4.	
<b>Subcouncil 5: 05SUB15/11/14, 19 November 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED:</b>  (i) That the Subcouncil note the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A. (ii) Provide comment before 30 November 2014 on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A. (iii) Note that all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, will be discontinued.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 6: 06SUB12/10/14, 20 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> That the comments on the Planning Policy Rationalisation Initiative: Second Round on the <b>BE NOTED</b> .	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 7: 07SUB18/10/14, 20 October 2014</b>	
<b>UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED</b>  (a) That the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A (page 219 to page 222 of the agenda) <b>BE NOTED</b> . (b) That the Councillors submit written comments, if any, on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A (page 219 to page 222 of the agenda) before 30 November 2014. (c) That it <b>BE NOTED</b> that all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, will be discontinued.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 8: 08SUB12/10/14, 23 October 2014</b>	
<b>Resolution:</b>  (a) That the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, <b>BE NOTED</b> ; (b) That it <b>BE NOTED</b> that comments can be provided up to 30 November 2014 on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans; (c) That it <b>BE NOTED</b> that all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, will be discontinued.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 9: 09SUB09/10/14, 22 October 2014</b>	
<b>Resolved:</b> That the Planning Policy Rationalisation Initiative: Second Round <b>BE NOTED</b> and That Subcouncil 09 NOTES only recommendations A & B, and that All Draft Planning Policies and Plans initiated before 2005 <b>BE FORWARDED</b> to Subcouncil 09 members in writing for	Noted

November 2014 meeting.	
<b>Subcouncil 10: 10SUB22/11/14, 17 November 2014</b>	
Subcouncil 10 <b>SUPPORTS</b> the withdrawal of old planning policies which have now been superseded by the district spatial plans, or are now obsolete and outdated.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 11: 11SUB11/10/14, 22 October 2014</b>	
The Subcouncil <b>NOTE</b> the report and members and Ward Committees were requested to provide comment before 30 November 2014.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 12: 12SUB08/10/14, 23 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> (a) That Subcouncil 12 <b>NOTES</b> the list of obsolete and outdated local-Area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A of the report; (b) That Subcouncil 12 provide comments before 30 November 2014 on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans as in <b>Annexure A</b> attached to the report; (c) That Subcouncil 12 <b>NOTES</b> that all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, will be discontinued.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 13: 13SUB08/10/14, 22 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Planning Policy Rationalisation Initiative: Second Round <b>BE NOTED</b></li> <li>• That road shows for each ward <b>BE ARRANGED</b></li> </ul>	Noted Further noted that the SC manager will action second part of resolution
<b>Subcouncil 14: 14SUB19/10/14, 20 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> that the report be deferred to the next activity day meeting	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 15: 15SUB12/11/14, 19 November 2014</b>	
It was <b>RESOLVED</b> that the report be <b>NOTED</b>	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 16: 16SUB07/10/14, 20 October 2014</b>	
<b>UNANIMOUSLY RESOLVED</b> that (a) The Subcouncil note the list of obsolete and outdated local area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A attached to the report. (b) Comment, if any, be submitted before 30 November 2014 on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A attached to the report. (c) The Subcouncil notes that all planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, will be discontinued. (d) This report be circulated to the ward committees for comment.	Noted  Further noted that the SC manager will action Resolution (d)

<b>Subcouncil 17: 17SUB09/10/14, 23 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> that: The SC Manager set up a meeting with Paul Prinsloo to explain a few concerns the Cllrs have regarding the above Planning Policy.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 18: 18SUB08/10/14, 23 October 2014</b>	
(i) That Subcouncil 18 <b>NOTES</b> the list of obsolete and outdated local- area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A of the report; (ii) That Subcouncil 18 provides comment before the 30 November 2014 on the list of obsolete outdated local- area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A of the report; (iii) That Subcouncil 18 <b>NOTES</b> that all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, will be discontinued.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 19: 19SUB08/10/14, 20 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> that a workshop be held within the first week of November 2014 with the Chairperson, Councillors and relevant officials and the members of the public to discuss the policy	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 20: 20SUB11/10/14, 22 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> (a) Subcouncil 20 <b>notes</b> the list of obsolete and outdated policy plans, as listed in Annexure A. (b) Subcouncil 20 <b>commented</b> on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A. (c) Subcouncil 20 <b>notes</b> that all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, will be discontinued.  <b>FURTHER RESOLVED</b> (d) That cognisance be taken of the comments given by Subcouncil 20.	Noted          Noted, cognisance taken
<b>Subcouncil 21: 21SUB08/10/14, 22 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> 1. That the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in annexure A to the report, <b>BE NOTED</b> . 2. That <b>comment BE SUBMITTED</b> before 30 November 2014 on the list of obsolete and outdated local-area policy plans, as listed in annexure A to the report. 3. That it <b>BE NOTED</b> that all the draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005, will be discontinued.	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 22: 22SUB09/10/14, 20 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> that: The report regarding the planning policy rationalisation initiative: second round, <b>BE NOTED</b> subject to the contents of	Noted



the report.	
<b>Subcouncil 23: 23SUB12/10/14, 20 October 2014</b>	
(a) Subcouncil <b>RESOLVED</b> that: the Planning Policy Rationalisation Initiative: Second Round <b>BE NOTED.</b>	Noted
<b>Subcouncil 24: 24SUB07/10/14, 23 October 2014</b>	
<b>RESOLVED</b> that the Subcouncil  (a) <b>Note</b> the list of obsolete and outdated local area policy plans, as listed in Annexure A. (b) <b>Provide comment</b> before 30 November 2014 on the list of obsolete and outdated local area policy plans, as listed in annexure A. (c) <b>Note</b> that all draft planning policies and plans, initiated before 2005 will be discontinued.	Noted
<b>Pam Gorre, Environmental portfolio, Tokai Residents Association and SRA, (021) 712 203/083 253 9758 e-mail: <a href="mailto:haryn@iafrica.com">haryn@iafrica.com</a> , 27 November 2014</b>	
Objection to withdrawal of <u>Peninsula Urban Edge Study 2001</u> :  The Tokai Ratepayers association is of the opinion that the commercial "creep needs to be contained and the public open spaces and greenbelt protected against development. We have a wonderful SAN parks and it would be inappropriate to have much greater urban densification on the very edges of the park, as urban pollution would be detrimental. It is presumed that the process of consultation with the concerned parties will be put in place before any major developments or changes to the existing planning regulations are approved.	Objection noted: Policy removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn
<b>Ian Flint, Kenridge The Hills Ratepayers Association, (Vice Chairman and Land Use) Tel 021 914 3291 / 082 604 5352, e-mail: Tina Schilling <a href="mailto:tina@ancor.co.za">tina@ancor.co.za</a>, 4 November 2014</b>	
1. We accept that the Northern District Plan (NDP) represents the status quo on planning policy for the area and that all earlier Plans are replaced by this document.  2. This withdrawal of earlier plans simply formalises the fact that Planning Department wrote off these Annexure A plans before the advent of the NDP if they were more than five or six years old as "out of date" - (with special reference to the "CEBO" plan for the Kenridge Business Node/Lower De Bron Avenue) and simply overrode vociferous local objections and included their own unilateral decisions in the Northern District Plan.  3. Since the City of Cape Town continues to ignore the Planning policy decisions encapsulated in the NDP whenever it suits them, this rationalisation exercise remains a strictly academic matter.	1. Comment noted  2. So-called "CEBO" plan never had any status  3. Comment noted

<b>Lorraine Holloway, Far South Peninsula Community Forum, e-mail: <a href="mailto:hollowp@telkomsa.net">hollowp@telkomsa.net</a>, 27 November 2014</b>	
Objection from the Far South Peninsula Community Forum (FSPCF) to the City's proposed withdrawal of the <u>Peninsula Urban Edge Study, 2001</u>	Objection noted: Policy removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn
<b>Kathy Dumbrell, Chair: Belvedere Civic Association, e-mail: <a href="mailto:belvederecivic@gmail.com">belvederecivic@gmail.com</a>, 7 November 2014</b>	
<p><u>1993 Belvedere Road Plan</u> must remain in place until the new policy is adopted.</p> <p>Also, BECA wishes to see the amended Belvedere Road Policy prior to it being tabled for approval.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A two-storey height proposal for buildings along Belvedere Road will be more appropriate to this area.</li> <li>• Only "clean" business rights should be granted and the volume and massing of the house at the time of the new use being granted should be retained as the limit of development rights in order to protect the existing urban form, scale and character of Belvedere Road.</li> </ul>	Objection noted: Policy removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn
<b>Dr Lesley Shackleton, Simon's Town Business Association, e-mail: <a href="mailto:lysnew@iafrica.com">lysnew@iafrica.com</a>, 7 December 2014</b>	
<p>Object against withdrawal of the <u>2001 Peninsula Urban Edge Study</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the PUES provides the baseline to enable us to secure the urban edge and prevent the incremental destruction of this natural environment.</li> <li>• The Urban Edge should be cast in stone to ensure that we do not "kill the goose that lays the golden egg".</li> </ul>	Objection noted: Policy removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn
<b>Allen Rose-Innes, Fish Hoek Valley Rate Payers and Residents Association, Tel. 021 785 1328, e-mail: <a href="mailto:aroseinn@mweb.co.za">aroseinn@mweb.co.za</a>, 9 December 2014</b>	
<p>1. <u>Proposed Withdrawal of the 2001 Peninsula Urban Edge Study (PUES)</u></p> <p>We are particularly concerned that the defined Urban Edges on the mountainsides flanking the Fish Hoek Valley must be preserved.</p> <p>2. <u>Proposed Withdrawal of the Fish Hoek Valley Local Structure Plan (FHVSLP) (issued October 1999)</u></p> <p>As this Structure Plan was never formally approved by Province, we cannot object to its withdrawal.</p> <p>However, this Structure Plan particularly identified the sensitivities of the natural areas on the Urban Edge bordering Fish Hoek, Clovelly, Sun Valley and the Silvermine River Corridor. These areas should be underpinned by detailed area</p>	<p>Objection noted: Policy removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn</p> <p>Noted that the FHVSLP was not approved by Province, neither formally adopted as a City of Cape Town policy. Policy therefore considered to be a draft policy only and removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn.</p>

specific management guidelines and enforced by statutory mechanisms.	
<b>Patrick Dowling, Kommetjie Residents' and Ratepayers' Association, e-mail: patrick@tops.org.za, 9 December 2014</b>	
<p><u>Proposed withdrawal of the 2001 Peninsula Urban Edge study (PUES)</u></p> <p>The KRRA hereby endorses the submission of the Far South Peninsula Forum (FSPCF) on this matter.</p> <p>The issues that the study aimed at addressing, do not date so easily viz: the need for agricultural space to cater for more focused local food production, the healthy functioning of environmental services, acknowledgment of Cape Town's very special sense of place abutting a World Heritage site within a floral biodiversity hotspot and the many constrained services that the City and country find it increasingly difficult to deliver.</p>	<p>Objection noted: Policy removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn</p>
<b>Len Swimmer, Greater Cape Town Civic Alliance, tel 021 790 0268, cell 082 452 1799, e-mail: swimmer@telkomsa.net, 9 December 2014</b>	
<p><u>Proposed withdrawal of the 2001 Peninsula Urban Edge Study (PUES)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The PUES is anything but superseded. It provides the essential detail for land use planning in the vicinity in which the urban edge demarcation lies which is wholly absent from the broad brush vision document which the CTSDP represents and is hardly more detailed in the Southern District Plan.</li> <li>• Nor is the PUES outdated. Not only are there still 7 years to run before its 20 year horizon is reached; without the PUES in place Table Mountain will lose its World Heritage Status as part of the Cape Floristic Region.</li> </ul>	<p>Objection noted: Policy removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn</p>
<b>David Stonestreet, Red Hill Conservation Group, e-mail: david@devs.co.za, 10 December 2014</b>	
<p><u>Proposed withdrawal of the 2001 Peninsula Urban Edge Study</u></p> <p>The scrapping of this policy is not supported by our group as there isn't the same level of detail around a set of management policies and zones in either the Cape Town Spatial Development Framework (DTSDP) of the Southern District Plan (SDP). Until the specific SDP includes this level of detail, the PUES should be retained.</p>	<p>Objection noted: Policy removed from the list of planning policies proposed to be withdrawn</p>